GRILL FLAME

**PROGRAM** 

SESSION REPORT

CLASSIFIED BY:MSG,DAMI-ISH
DATED:051630ZJUL78
REVIEW ON: 2/Other 2000



**GRILL FLAME** 

#### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

# REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION D-91

- 1. (S/NOFORN) This remport documents a remote viewing session conducted in compliance with a request from SOD, J3, OJCS, Pentagon, Washington, DC. The purpose of the session was to provide information relevant to the hostage situation in Iran.
- 2. (S) The protocol used for this session is detailed in the document, Grill Flame Protocol, AMSAA Applied Remote Viewing Protocol (S), undated.
- 3. (S) At TAB A is a summary of the data presented by the remote viewer during the session. A complete transcript of the session is available upon request. At TAB B is target cuing information provided the remote viewer. No drawings were made by the remote viewer.
- 4. (S) The summary at TAB A represents the salient points provided by the remote viewer and as such has not been subjected to any intermediate analysis, evaluation or collation. Interpretation and use of the information provided is the responsibility of the requestor.
- 5. (S/NOFORN) This session was done concurrently with session D-92.

NOT RELEASABLE TO FORIEGN NATIONALS



**TAB** 



#### TRANSCRIPT SUMMARY

## REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION D-91

- 1. (S/NOFORN) The remote viewer reported that he perceived three americans (at least) in a large room on the ground floor within the target building. He felt that these three were having a meeting which they had regularly to keep their spirits up. The viewer felt there was one other hostage who did not attend this meeting and was elsewhere in the target building doing some reading.
- 2. (S/NOFORN) The viewer was unable to recognise or identify any of the hostages he saw. He seemed to have very little visual sensation of the target but did seem to "tune into " the emotional content of the target building. The viewer felt that the hostages he saw were drained of any identity. He felt it was as though they no longer had any individual egos. The viewer also felt that the hostages did not feel any hostility towards their captors. The hostages seemed to have given up all hope.
- 3. (S/NOFORN) The viewer perceived three guards associated with the target building. Two of these guards were in the room where the hostages were having their meeting. The whereabouts of the other guard was not reported. The guards seemed bored and appeared to be wishing that this affair was over. The guards seemed to be on a "first name basis" with the hostages. No weapons were reported.
- 4. (S/NOFORN) At one point in the session the viewer thought that the hostages might have inadvertantly received some news (subject unknown) which made them excited. The emotional state of the hostages concerning this news was described as excited but not daring to be hopefull.



**TAB** 

### TARGET CUING INFORMATION

# REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION D-91

- 1. (S/NOFORN) This remote viewer has been working on the Iran hostage situation for about a year. He has been exposed to a myriad of information both classified and open source.
- 2. (S/NOFORN) Prior to the session the viewer was shown overhead imagery of the US Embassy compound in Teheran, Iran. Area "J" was pointed out to the viewer and he was told that this would be his target. He was told that this area was known as the Ambassador's quarters. He was told that it wasn't necessary to spend a great deal of time describing the building; his mission was to determine if there were hostages in the target building.

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001000310001-7